

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10 RAMON PERAITA,

11 Petitioner,

No. CIV S-08-1628 KJM P

12 vs.

13 KNOWLES, Warden,

14 Respondent.

ORDER

15 \_\_\_\_\_/  
16 Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed an application for a writ of  
17 habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254, together with a request to proceed in forma  
18 pauperis.

19 The application attacks a conviction issued by the San Bernardino County  
20 Superior Court. While both this Court and the United States District Court in the district where  
21 petitioner was convicted have jurisdiction, see Braden v. 30th Judicial Circuit Court, 410 U.S.  
22 484 (1973), any and all witnesses and evidence necessary for the resolution of petitioner's  
23 application are more readily available in San Bernardino County. Id. at 499 n.15; 28 U.S.C.  
24 § 2241(d).

25 /////

26 /////

Accordingly, in the furtherance of justice, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

1. This court has not ruled on petitioner's application to proceed in forma pauperis; and
2. This matter is transferred to the United States District Court for the Central District of California.

DATED: July 18, 2008.

  
U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE

/ke  
pera1628.108